#### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

### Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: M125400 Alaskan Copper Works Client:

Date Received: 06/10/10 Project: Metro Self Monitor, F&BI 006124

Date Extracted: 06/14/10 Lab ID: 006124-01 Date Analyzed: 06/15/10 Data File: 006124-01.046 Matrix: Water Instrument: ICPMS1 Units: ug/L (ppb)

Operator: btb

Lower Upper Internal Standard: Limit: Limit: % Recovery: Germanium 125 79 60

Concentration

Analyte: ug/L (ppb)

Chromium 317 Nickel 277 Copper 263 Zinc 23.0

### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

### Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Method Blank Client: Alaskan Copper Works

Date Received: Not Applicable Project: Metro Self Monitor, F&BI 006124

Date Extracted:06/14/10Lab ID:I0-298 mbDate Analyzed:06/15/10Data File:I0-298 mb.013Matrix:WaterInstrument:ICPMS1Units:ug/L (ppb)Operator:btb

Lower Upper Internal Standard: % Recovery: Limit: Limit: Germanium 95 60 125

Germanium 95 60

Concentration

Concentration
Analyte: ug/L (ppb)

Chromium <1
Nickel <1
Copper <2
Zinc <1

### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

Date of Report: 06/17/10 Date Received: 06/10/10

Project: Metro Self Monitor, PO M125400, F&BI 006124

## QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

Laboratory Code: 006128-16 (Matrix Spike)

				Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Sample	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	Result	MS	MSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	1.41	96	94	67-132	2
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	4.95	92 b	89 b	73-119	3
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	5.85	90 b	93 b	50-144	3
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	4.93	92	91	46-148	1

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

		Percent										
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance								
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria								
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	99	66-135								
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	100	67-134								
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	96	66-134								
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	101	57-135								

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

#### **Data Qualifiers & Definitions**

- ${\tt a}$  The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.
- A1 More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.
- b The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.
- ca The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.
- c The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.
- d The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.
- $\mbox{d} s$  The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.
- dv Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.
- fb Analyte present in the blank and the sample.
- fc The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.
- hr The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.
- ht Analysis performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.
- $\mbox{ip}$  Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.
- j The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.
- $\boldsymbol{J}$  The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.
- ${
  m jl}$  The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- jr The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- js The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- lc The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.
- L The reported concentration was generated from a library search.
- $\mbox{nm}$  The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.
- pc The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- pr The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- ve Estimated concentration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.
- vo The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.
- $\boldsymbol{x}$  The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

006124	1		_	SAMPLEAS (	gnature)	Ţ.		$\overline{}$								Page#		of
Send Report To Delizas A. THOMPSON				PROJECT NAME/NO.						PO# Sta					TURNAROUND TIME Standard (2 Weeks) LUSH			
Company ALASIAN COFFER Works				METTO SEE MONITOR A					m	n/25400				Rush charges authorized by:				
Address 628 S.				REMARKS						_						SAM	IPLE DIS	POSAL
City, State, ZIP	ce WA	58139	<u>-</u>	NDNE GCD			- 65 - 2				12			\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	Disp	ose af m sam	ter 30 day	5
Phone # 206-571-603	33 Fax # 206	-38Z-K	309														in instruc	tions
										ANA	LYS	ES R	EQI	JEST	ED			
							96	21B	09	270		3				: : a	Overhead and a second	
Sample ID	Lab ID	Date	Time	Sample Type	# of	Diese	ilosei	by 80.	by 82	by 8.	HFS	श्री						Notes
•	18 3				containers	TPH-Diese	TPH-Gasoline	BTEX by 8021B	VOCs by 8260	SVOCs by 8270	H	2000						
V=5-mi-W4		,		1/ 0	. V		T	<u></u>	>	S	:			<u> </u>			<u> </u>	
M125400	01	6/10/10	11:30	H20	/							Y						
											1				٧	Į.		
								Ì		Ì								
	***************************************	***************************************	<b> </b>	-7				$\neg$		7	7	7		İ				***************************************
			1					1	$\dashv$	- 1	$\dashv$	1		1			<u> </u>	
	25		-				_	-1	+		+	4						
								_	4		4							
10									$\perp$									
	1	in a contract to the contract of the contract	21					1									tur.	
riedman & Bruya, Inc. 012 16th Avenue West	SIGNATURE Relinquisted by			PRINT NAME				T	COMPANY						DATE	TIME		
eattle, WA 98119-2029	Received by			- 1	CERRED S. Thogsen				- A - \ I				1	10/10	2000			
h. (206) 285-8282	Relinquished by				Nhan Phan				+	FEBI					18	10/10	205	
1. (200) AUD-UZUZ	Received by:				-					160							1	

FORMS/COC/COC.DOC

Samples received at 23 °C

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

June 17, 2010

Gerry Thompson, Project Manager Alaskan Copper Works 628 South Hanford Seattle, WA 98134

Dear Mr. Thompson:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on June 10, 2010 from the Metro Self Monitor, PO M125400, F&BI 006124 project. There are 4 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Kortland Orr Project Manager

Enclosures ACU0617R.DOC